



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
WWW.USPTO.GOV
AND CGO

Paper No. 6

PENNIE AND EDMONDS
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2711

COPY MAILED

SEP 12 2002

In re Application of
Rozhon, et al.
Application No. 09/712,033
Filed: November 14, 2000
Attorney Docket No.: 7032-058
For: ENTERIC FORMULATIONS OF
PROANTHOCYANIDIN POLYMER
ANTIDIARRHEAL COMPOSITIONS

ON PETITION **OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b),
filed July 3, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be
submitted within **TWO (2) MONTH** from mail date of this decision.
Extensions of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) are permitted. The
reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled
"Renewed Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)." This is not a
final agency decision.

The application became abandoned June 19, 2001 for failure to
timely file a proper response to the Notice to File Missing Parts
of Nonprovisional Application ("Notice") mailed April 18, 2001.
The Notice set a two (2) month shortened statutory period of time
for reply. No extensions of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) were
requested. This decision precedes Notice of Abandonment.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be
accompanied by: (1) the required reply to the outstanding Office
action or notice, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee
as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the
entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for
the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37
C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal
disclaimer (and fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) required
pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(c).

The present petition lacks requirement (1) stated above.
Petitioner has failed to submit a complete reply to the Notice.
Petitioner has submitted the required fees, but has failed to
submit an oath or declaration executed by all of the inventors
named in the application.

While it is noted that petitioner has submitted a declaration
executed by an officer of assignee, petitioner is advised that an
oath or declaration executed by each inventor is required under
37 C.F.R. § 1.63. If petitioner is of the belief that the
inventors either cannot be reached or located or refuse to

execute the oath or declaration, petitioner is advised to submit a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 along with the required petition fee and relevant evidence.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, an extension of time must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum period obtainable for reply to avoid abandonment. Petitioner may request a refund of the previously submitted petition for an extension of time fee of \$720.00 by writing to the Finance Office, Refund Section. A copy of this decision should accompany any request for refund.

Petitioner is advised that this application remains ABANDONED.


Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Commissioner for Patents
Box DAC
Washington, DC 20231

By facsimile: (703) 308-6916

By hand: Office of Petitions
2201 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23
Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0310.



Alesia M. Brown
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy